IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 14TH MARCH 2007 / 23RD PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 8478 of 2007(H)

PETITIONER:

DEEPAK K., AGED 23 YEARS,
S/O. K.T.DAMODARAN, 1ST YEAR CLASS REPRESENTATIVE,
GOVERNMENT LAW COLLEGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,
RESIDING AT VARIKKAPLAMMOOTTIL, MUTTOM P.O.,
THUMPAMON, PATHANAMTHITTA.

BY ADV. SRI.V.PHILIP MATHEW

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. KERALA UNIVERSITY, REPRESENTED BY
ITS REGISTRAR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. VICE CHANCELLOR,
KERALA UNIVERSITY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS,
KERALA UNIVERSITY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

4. PRINCIPAL,
GOVERNMENT LAW COLLEGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.M.RAJAGOPALAN NAIR, SC, KERALA UTY.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 14/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

= =

WP(C).No. 8478 OF 2007-H

= =

Dated this the 14th day of March, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The petitioners are students of the First Semester LL.B. course in the Government Law College, Thiruvananthapuram. According to them, after classes commenced on 13-11-2006, there were certain problems in the College which led to loss of working days followed by College Union elections which again took off instructional hours during the working hours. According to them, as a result of this, the petitioners did not get appropriate time to prepare for the First Semester LL.B. examination scheduled tomorrow.

2. The learned counsel for the University points out that the examination scheduled has been fixed by the competent authorities after ensuring that the relevant time

for completing the course in terms of the Regulations etc. is provided. The learned Government Pleader, on instructions from the 4th respondent, submits that total instructional hours, as is required in terms of the University Laws, have been complied with even by arranging additional classes and that the 4th respondent as the Principal and Head of the institution is satisfied of the fact that appropriate instructions have been completed in terms of the Law.

In the aforesaid circumstances, I find no ground to grant the reliefs sought for. The writ petition fails. Dismissed.

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN,
JUDGE.

Sha/-